

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,577	02/09/2004	Jennifer A. Coggan	8650.027 US	9765
	7590 05/02/200 ONG & ALDRIDGE L		EXAMINER	
1900 K STREE	T, NW	GARRETT, DAWN L		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

V				it/		
ş		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Deferre	Advisory Action	10/774,577	COGGAN ET AL.	•		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dawn Garrett	1774			
The I	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILE	ED <u>24 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
this applica places the a Request time period		owing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
	riod for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat		tanka markatan			
no even	I for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO M	ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP :	706.07(f).	•			
have been filed is t under 37 CFR 1.17 set forth in (b) abov may reduce any ea NOTICE OF APF		xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing day).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off ite of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
filing the No	of Appeal was filed on A brief in comptice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since		
	sed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) 🔀 They	raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO				
(c) 🗌 They	raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel- are not deemed to place the application in be eal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
	present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.			
	TE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	` ''	٠.			
	dments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
	s reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
	posed or amended claim(s) would be a ble claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purpos how the ne	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) w or amended claims would be rejected is pro of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) $igtie$ will not be entered, or b) $igsqcup$ wi ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) all	lowed:´					
	ojected to:					
	jected: <u>1-8 and 14-16</u> . thdrawn from consideration: <u>9-13</u> .					
	OTHER EVIDENCE					
because ap	it or other evidence filed after a final action, b oplicant failed to provide a showing of good an rlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
entered be	it or other evidence filed after the date of filing cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
	vit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. Martin The reque	RECONSIDERATION/OTHER est for reconsidered bein considered bein inuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
	inuation Street. attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:		,				

Dawn Garrett
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1774

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment is of a scope not previously considered. In particular "triazinyl" was not specifically set forth in the prior claims. Also deletion of "non-purine containing" from the claims would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As noted above, the proposed amendment has not been entered. The remarks have been fully considered, but are considered unpersuasive to overcome the current rejections. The rejections of record are respectfully maintained.